

HIGH COURT, BOMBAY

505380

1 of 2

WP.2483.2005

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2483 OF 2005
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.289 OF 2006

Naturo Electro Homoeopathy Medicos
of India and others Petitioners
versus
The State of Maharashtra and another Respondents

Mr.Prashant D. Patil for Petitioners.
Mr.D.A.Nalwade, Government Pleader, for Respondent no.1.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI AND
B.PCOLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATE : 2 December 2015

PC :

The Petitioners in this petition claim a declaration that they are entitled to practice in alternative system of medicine namely Electropathy/Electro-Homoeopathy and that the Respondents be restrained from interfering in any manner with the said practice of Petitioners.

2. During pendency of this petition, which has been admitted by this court on 7 June 2006, the counsel for Petitioners has placed an additional affidavit in which reference is made to several proceedings of the present nature pending in other High Courts.

∴ Uploaded on - 05/12/2015

∴ Downloaded on - 10/12/2015 11:23:09 ∴ CMIS-CC

∴ CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER.

“Disclaimer Clause : Authenticated copy is not a Certified Copy”

HIGH COURT, BOMBAY

505388

2 of 2

WP.2483.2005

3. Thereafter the matter was carried to the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has recorded a statement of the Petitioners before it that in view of the counter affidavit filed by the Union Government in the Supreme Court to the effect that there is no ban on the medical practice of Electro-Homoeopathy, the Petitioners in those petitions do not wish to press their challenge.

4. On instructions from the Petitioners herein, the Advocate for the Petitioners states that in view of the statement in the counter affidavit filed by the Union Government before the Supreme Court of India, the controversy no longer survives. He would submit that the petition be disposed of as infructuous.

5. In the light of the statement of the learned counsel for the Petitioners, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous. All interim proceedings, if any, shall stand disposed of as such.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

(B.PCOLABAWALLA, J.)

MST

TRUE COPY
Subsequent
Section Officer
High Court, Appellate Side
Bombay

::: Uploaded on - 05/12/2015

::: Downloaded on - 10/12/2015 11:23:09 :::CMIS-CC

::: CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER.

"Disclaimer Clause : Authenticated copy is not a Certified Copy"